

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,149	04/28/2005	Kiyoharu Higashino	038917.55521US	9137
23911	7590 10/23/2006		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			OMGBA, ESSAMA	
P.O. BOX 143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			3726	

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/511,149	HIGASHINO ET A	HIGASHINO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Essama Omgba	3726				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become be	IICATION. The reply be timely filed The reply be the				
Status			1				
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n .					
'-	•	This action is non-final.					
,							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		-			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
			• •	Stone			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International 	•	ir received in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the international see the attached detailed Office action for		at received				
	dee the attached detailed Office action to	a list of the certifica copies no	it reserves.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 11, lines 27 and 28, reference is made to figures 7(a) and (b), however there are no figures labeled 7(a) or (b) as originally filed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bleuel et al. (US Patent 5,992,263) in view of Fevre et al. (US Patent 5,743,150).

With regards to claims 1-3, Bleuel et al. discloses a method of assembling steering columns wherein a pair of symmetrically formed projections (5, 5a) on a bracket 7 comprising inner surfaces in a circular arc shape conforming to an outer surface of a column jacket 6 are fitted around the jacket and welded to the outer surface of the jacket, see column 1, lines 66-67 and column 2, lines 1-5. Although Bleuel et al. discloses the clamp projections being welded to the outer surface of the column jacket rather then being crimped thereto, however it is known to secure such brackets to column jackets by either welding or crimping as attested by Fevre et al., see column 5,

Art Unit: 3726

lines 19-21. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have crimped the bracket projections to the outer surface of the column jacket in the method of Bleuel et al., in light of the teachings of Fevre et al., in order to realize the benefits of using crimping over welding such as not weakening the material of the column jacket through heat from welding. Applicant should note that column jackets with flat sides are old and well known in the art; furthermore it is within the general knowledge to form the column jacket and the projections with complementary mating surfaces prior to crimping.

For claim 4, Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to appropriately crimp two members together.

For claim 5, Applicant should note that column jackets formed from mild steel are old and well known in the art.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bleuel et al./Fevre et al. as applied to claims 1 or 2 above, and further in view of Evans et al. (US Patent 5,573,606).

Bleuel et al./Fevre et al. discloses a method of assembling steering columns as shown above except for the column jacket being formed from an Al-Mn alloy tube. However it is known to make steering columns from an Al-Mn alloy as attested by Evans et al., see column 4, lines 6-15 and 58-60. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have formed the column jacket of Bleuel et al./Fevre et al. from an Al-Mn alloy, in light of the teachings of

Art Unit: 3726

Evans et al., in order to a steering column that is light in weight without sacrificing strength.

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sawada et al. (US 2006/0043720).

With regards to claims 1-3, Applicant, at pages 1 and 2 of the specification to be known as AAPA, discloses a method of assembling steering wheels wherein column or tilt brackets are fixed to column jackets using fusion welding. Although AAPA does not disclose the brackets being fixed to the column jackets by crimping, however it is known to fix such brackets to column jackets by welding, caulking or clinching as attested by Sawada et al., see paragraph [0004]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have fixed the brackets to the column jackets by clinching in the method of AAPA, in light of the teachings of Sawada et al., in order to achieve the benefits of clinching over welding such as not weakening the material of the column jacket through heat from welding. Applicant should note that column jackets with flat sides are old and well known in the art; furthermore it is within the general knowledge to form the column jacket and the projections with complementary mating surfaces prior to crimping.

For claim 4, Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to appropriately crimp two members together.

For claims 5 and 6, Applicant should note that column jackets formed from mild steel are old and well known in the art.

Art Unit: 3726

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

Essama Omgba

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

eo

October 13, 2006